

Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

Legislative Affairs Office

2020 Session Report – Week 8

Overview

The eighth week of the 2020 Legislative Session has ended, leaving one week to go.

FWC's legislative package in the House is found in HB 549 (Pub. Rec./Site-specific Location Information of Endangered and Threatened Species), sponsored by Representative Overdorf; and in the Senate in SB 812 (Public Records/ Endangered and Threatened Species), sponsored by Senator Hutson.

CS HB 549

- Filed on November 6th
- Referred to Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee, Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee, and State Affairs Committee on November 15th
- HB 549 was heard on February 4th in Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee and passed unanimously as a committee substitute (CS)
- The second committee reference (Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee) was removed, and it is now in State Affairs Committee, its final committee of reference
- CS HB 549 was heard in State Affairs Committee on February 27th and passed unanimously
- It is now on 2nd reading, waiting to be placed on the Special-Order Calendar
- CS HB 549 was placed on Special Order for March 6th
- It was read a second time and placed on third reading for March 9th

CS CS SB 812

- filed on November 6th
- referred to Environment and Natural Resources, Governmental Oversight and Accountability, and Rules on November 21st
- on January 13th, it was heard in Environment and Natural Resources and passed unanimously as a CS
- CS SB 812 was heard on February 3rd in Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee and passed unanimously as a CS



- CS CS SB 812 is now in Senate Rules Committee, its final committee of reference
- CS CS SB 812 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd
- CS CS SB 812 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd and voted favorable
- CS CS SB 812 was placed on Special Order for March 6th and temporarily postponed on second reading (waiting for HB 549 to come over in Messages); it has been retained on the Special-Order calendar

In addition to agency legislation, FWC works continuously with the Legislature on other bills that affect fish and wildlife. FWC staff regularly meet with bill sponsors, committees, and their staffs pertaining to such legislation. Following the FWC Legislative Package section, there is a section entitled Other Bills of Interest to FWC that lists the bills the agency is monitoring, describing each bill's impact primarily as it relates to fish and wildlife, and the actions that have occurred with each bill. As Session moves forward, more bills may be added to this list.

This report will be updated on a weekly basis to indicate the current status of the bills and if there are any changes as they move through committees.

Staff is also working with the Legislature on the development of FWC's budget for the 2020-21 Fiscal Year. The accompanying budget report and worksheet is written by FWC's Chief Financial Officer, Charlotte Jerrett.

The weekly substantive and budget reports can be found on FWC's [Legislative Affairs website](#).

FWC Legislative Package

HB 549 by Representative Overdorf and CS SB 812 by Senator Hutson: These bills would exempt from public record the specific site location information of state threatened species, federally endangered species, and federally threatened species.



Other Bills of Interest to FWC

LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
<p>CS HB 327 by Representative Smith CS SB 688 by Senator Wright</p>	<p>Illegal Taking, Possession, and Sale of Bears These similar bills increase the penalties for illegally taking a bear or possessing a freshly killed bear during the closed season. If a person takes a bear or possesses a freshly killed bear during the closed season, he/she commits a Level 3 violation (first degree misdemeanor) and forfeits any FWC license or permit issued for three years after the date of the violation. If a person commits a subsequent offense, he/she is permanently ineligible for issuance of any FWC license or permit. The bill also specifies that a person who possesses for sale or sells a bear taken during the closed season commits a Level Four violation (third degree felony).</p>	<p>Week 1: CS HB 327 was heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee on January 15th. It was voted favorable and is now in State Affairs. No action for CS SB 688.</p> <p>Week 2: CS HB 327 was heard in State Affairs on January 23rd. It was voted favorable and has been placed on the calendar, on second reading. No action for CS SB 688.</p> <p>Week 3: CS HB 327 was placed on the special-order calendar for February 5th to be heard by the full House. CS SB 688 is on the agenda to be heard in Environment and Natural Resources on February 3rd.</p> <p>Week 4: CS HB 327 was read a second and third time and passed the full House unanimously on February 5th. CS SB 688 was heard in Senate Environment and Natural Resources on February 3rd. A CS was introduced and passed favorably. It is scheduled to be heard in Criminal Justice on February 11th.</p> <p>Week 5: CS HB 327 was received in Senate Messages on February 12th and was referred to Senate Environment and Natural Resources</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Committee, Criminal Justice Committee, and Rules Committee. CS SB 688 was heard in Criminal Justice Committee on February 11th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in Rules Committee.</p> <p>Week 6: No action.</p> <p>Week 7: No action for CS HB 327. CS CS SB 688 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd.</p> <p>Week 8: No action for CS HB 327. CS CS SB 688 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd and voted favorable. It has been placed on Special Order for March 10th.</p>
<p>CS SB 520 by Senator Gruters HB 1433 by Representative Yarborough</p>	<p>Drones These similar bills expand the authorized uses of drones by law enforcement agencies and other specified entities for specified purposes (specific to state agencies or political subdivisions, it authorizes the use of a drone for vegetation or wildlife management on publicly owned land or water as well as the assessment of damage due to a flood, wildfire or natural disaster).</p> <p>Note, the language specific to drone usage for vegetation or wildlife management purposes on publicly owned land or water as well as the assessment of damage due to a flood, wildfire or natural disaster was removed from the Senate bill but remains in the House bill.</p>	<p>Week 1: SB 520 was introduced and heard in Criminal Justice on November 12th. It was voted favorable and is now in Infrastructure and Security. No action for HB 1433.</p> <p>Week 2: HB 1433 is scheduled to be heard in Criminal Justice Subcommittee on January 28th. No action for SB 520.</p> <p>Week 3: No action for SB 520. HB 1433 was heard in the Criminal Justice Subcommittee on January 28th. It was voted favorable and is now in State Affairs.</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 4: SB 520 is scheduled to be heard in Infrastructure and Security on February 10th. No action for HB 1433.</p> <p>Week 5: SB 520 was heard in Infrastructure and Security on February 10th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in the Rules Committee. HB 1433 was heard in the State Affairs Committee on February 13th and passed unanimously. It is scheduled to be heard in the Judiciary Committee on February 18th.</p> <p>Week 6: No action for CS SB 520. HB 1433 was heard in the Judiciary Committee on February 18th. It was voted favorable and was placed on the Calendar, on second reading.</p> <p>Week 7: No action.</p> <p>Week 8: No action.</p>
<p>CS HB 659 by Representative Fischer CS SB 822 by Senator Albritton</p>	<p>Drones These identical bills create an exception from the prohibition against law enforcement agencies using drones to gather information. The bills authorize the use of drones by a non-law enforcement employee of FWC or of the Florida Forest Service for the purpose of managing and eradicating invasive exotic plants or animals on public lands and suppressing and mitigating wildfire threats.</p>	<p>Week 1: HB 659 was introduced and heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee on January 15th. It was voted favorable and is now in Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee. SB 822 was introduced and heard in Environment and Natural Resources on January 13th. It was voted favorable and is</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>now in Governmental Oversight and Accountability.</p> <p>Week 2: HB 659 is scheduled to be heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee on January 28th. SB 822 is scheduled to be heard in Governmental Oversight and Accountability on January 27th.</p> <p>Week 3: HB 659 was heard in the Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee on January 28th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in State Affairs. SB 822 was heard in the Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee on January 27th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in Rules.</p> <p>Week 4: No action.</p> <p>Week 5: CS HB 659 was heard in the State Affairs Committee on February 13th. It was voted favorable and was placed on the Calendar, on its second reading. No action for CS SB 822.</p> <p>Week 6: CS HB 659 was placed on Special Order for February 26th. No action for CS SB 822.</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 7: CS HB 659 was read a second and third time and passed the House unanimously. CS SB 822 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd.</p> <p>Week 8: CS HB 659 was received in Senate Messages on March 3rd. It was placed on the calendar, on second reading, substituted for CS SB 822, and was read a second time on March 5th. It was read a third time, passed the Senate unanimously, and ordered enrolled on March 6th. CS SB 822 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd. On March 3rd, it was placed on Special Order for March 5th. It was read a second time and substituted for CS HB 659.</p>
<p>CS CS SB 826 by Senator Mayfield CS CS CS HB 395 by Representative Andrade</p>	<p>Marina Evacuations/ Transportation These bills prohibit, upon issuance of a hurricane watch that affects the waters of marinas located in a deepwater seaport, vessels under 500 gross tons from remaining in the waters of such marinas that have been deemed not suitable for refuge during a hurricane. It requires that vessel owners promptly remove their vessels from the waterways upon issuance of an evacuation order by the deepwater seaport. If the Coast Guard Captain of the Port sets the port condition to “Yankee” and a vessel owner fails to remove his or her vessel from the waterway, a marina owner, operator, employee, or agent, is required to remove the vessel, if reasonable, from its slip. The marina owner may charge the vessel owner a reasonable fee for the service of removing the vessel. The bills also provide that a</p>	<p>Week 1: No action.</p> <p>Week 2: No action for HB 1329 and SB 826. CS HB 395 is scheduled to be introduced and heard in Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee on January 28th.</p> <p>Week 3: No action for HB 1329. SB 826 is scheduled to be heard in the Environment and Natural Resources Committee on February 3rd. CS HB 395 was introduced and heard in Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee on January 28th. A CS was introduced and passed favorable. It is now in</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT

Bill	Description	Action Summary
	<p>marina owner, operator, employee, or agent may not be held liable for any damage incurred to the vessel from a hurricane and is held harmless from removing the vessel from the waterways. Lastly, the bills provide that after a watch has been issued, if an owner or operator has not removed the vessel pursuant to an order from the seaport, the owner or operator may be subject to existing civil penalties.</p> <p>CS CS HB 395 was amended Week 7 to include some of the language found in SB 1378 and HB 1407. The bill amends s. 327.33, F.S., relating to the reckless or careless operation of a vessel. The bill prohibits individuals from operating a vessel at a speed greater than slow speed, minimum wake upon approaching within 300 feet of any emergency vessel, including but not limited to, a law enforcement vessel, a United States Coast Guard vessel, or firefighting vessel, when such emergency vessel has its emergency lights activated. This provision does not apply to law enforcement vessels, firefighting vessels, and rescue vessels owned or operated by a governmental entity. The bill provides that a violation is a noncriminal infraction (careless operation). The bill also prohibits an owner or responsible party of a vessel at risk of becoming derelict, who has been issued a citation for a second violation for the same vessel, from anchoring or mooring the vessel to, or within 20 feet of, a mangrove or upland vegetation on public lands. The bill provides that a violation is a noncriminal infraction.</p>	<p>Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee.</p> <p>Week 4: No action for HB 1329. CS SB 826 was heard in Environment and Natural Resources on February 3rd. A CS was introduced and passed favorable. It is now in Infrastructure and Security Committee. CS HB 395 is scheduled to be heard in Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee on February 10th.</p> <p>Week 5: CS SB 826 is scheduled to be heard in the Infrastructure and Security Committee on February 17th. CS HB 395 was heard in the Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee on February 10th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in the State Affairs Committee.</p> <p>Week 6: CS SB 826 was heard in the Infrastructure and Security Committee on February 17th. A CS was introduced and reported favorable. A CS was filed on February 19th and is now in the Rules Committee. No action for CS CS HB 395.</p> <p>Week 7: CS CS SB 826 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd. CS CS HB 395 was heard in State Affairs</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Committee on February 27th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable.</p> <p>Week 8: CS CS SB 826 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd and was voted favorable. CS CS SB 826 was placed on Special Order for March 6th. CS CS SB 826 was read a second time on March 6th and placed on third reading for March 9th. CS CS HB 395 was placed on Special Order for March 6th. It was read a second time on March 6th with adopted amendments and placed on third reading for March 9th.</p>
<p>CS SB 1378 by Senator Rouson HB 1407 by Representative Webb</p>	<p>Vessels These compare bills specify the operation of a vessel is at slow speed, minimum wake only if it is fully off plane and completely settled into the water. A person may not operate a vessel faster than slow speed, minimum wake upon approaching within 300 feet of any emergency vessel with its emergency lights activated; or any construction vessel or barge when it is actively engaged in operations and displaying an orange flag from the tallest portion of the vessel or barge. The bills prohibit the anchoring or mooring of a vessel to, or within 20 feet of, a mangrove; or to upland vegetation upon, or within 20 feet of, public lands, under certain conditions. The bills increase the civil penalties relating to certain at-risk vessels and prohibited anchoring or mooring.</p>	<p>Week 1: No action.</p> <p>Week 2: No action.</p> <p>Week 3: No action.</p> <p>Week 4: No action for HB 1407. SB 1378 is scheduled to be heard in Environment and Natural Resources on February 10th.</p> <p>Week 5: SB 1378 was heard in the Environment and Natural Resources Committee on February 10th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in the Judiciary Committee. No action for HB 1407.</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 6: No action.</p> <p>Week 7: No action.</p> <p>Week 8: No action.</p>
<p>SB 7032 by Criminal Justice HB 7015 by Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee</p>	<p>OGSR/ Body Camera Recordings obtained by Law Enforcement Officers These identical bills amend a provision which provides an exemption from public records requirements for body camera recordings obtained by law enforcement officers under certain circumstances. It removes the scheduled repeal of the exemption.</p>	<p>Week 1: SB 7032 was introduced and heard in Criminal Justice on January 14th. It was submitted as a committee bill and voted favorable. Committee bill text was filed on January 15th and it was referred to Governmental Oversight and Accountability and Rules. HB 7015 is scheduled to be introduced and heard in the Criminal Justice Subcommittee on January 21st.</p> <p>Week 2: SB 7032 is scheduled to be heard in Governmental Oversight and Accountability on January 27th. HB 7015 was heard in Criminal Justice Subcommittee on January 21st. It was voted favorable and is now in State Affairs.</p> <p>Week 3: SB 7032 was heard in the Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee on January 27th and voted favorable. It is now in Rules. HB 7015 was heard in State Affairs on January 30th. It was voted favorable and has been placed on the calendar, on second reading.</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 4: No action.</p> <p>Week 5: No action.</p> <p>Week 6: No action for SB 7032. HB 7015 was placed on Special Order for February 26th.</p> <p>Week 7: SB 7032 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd. HB 7015 was read a second and third time and passed the House.</p> <p>Week 8: SB 7032 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd and voted favorable. On March 5th, it was placed on Special Order for March 9th. HB 7015 was received in Senate Messages on March 5th.</p>
<p>CS HB 777 by Representative Gregory</p> <p>CS CS CS SB 1414 by Senator Mayfield</p>	<p>Fish and Wildlife Activities</p> <p>These similar bills would prohibit certain harassment of hunters, trappers, and fishers in or on any public lands, public waters or fish management areas. The bills expand the number of free fishing days from four to six. The bills add tegus and green iguanas to the conditional list and prohibits a person or entity from keeping, possessing, importing, selling, bartering, trading, or breeding a species listed as conditional except for educational, research, eradication, or control purposes. The bills specify that a person or entity who had a documented inventory of green iguanas or tegus on an application for an exhibition or sale license in 2019 and held such license on January 1, 2020, may continue to exhibit, sell, or breed green iguanas and tegus commercially for as long as the license</p>	<p>Week 1: HB 777 was discussed during the Office of EDR’s Revenue Estimating Impact Conference. No votes were taken.</p> <p>SB 1310 is scheduled to be heard on January 21st in Commerce and Tourism. No action for SB 1414.</p> <p>Week 2: No action for HB 777.</p> <p>SB 1310 was not considered by Commerce and Tourism. No action for SB 1414.</p> <p>Week 3: No action for HB 777. No action for SB 1310. SB 1414 is scheduled to be heard in</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
	<p>remains active. The bills allow the sale of inventory of the species only outside of the state and prohibits import of the species into the state. The bills require FWC to adopt rules to establish reporting requirements, biosecurity measures to prevent the escape of the species, and grandfathering provisions for persons that are currently in possession of green iguanas or tegus who do not qualify for the grandfathering provisions applicable to sale or exhibition.</p>	<p>the Environment and Natural Resources Committee on February 3rd.</p> <p>Week 4: No action for SB 1310. No action for HB 777. CS SB 1414 was heard in Environment and Natural Resources on February 3rd. A CS was introduced and passed favorable. It is scheduled to be heard in Agriculture on February 11th.</p> <p>Week 5: No action for HB 777. CS SB 1414 was heard in the Senate Agriculture Committee on February 11th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in Rules Committee.</p> <p>Week 6: A Proposed Committee Substitute (PCS) for HB 777 is scheduled to be heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee on February 25th. CS CS SB 1414 is scheduled to be heard in the Rules Committee on February 26th.</p> <p>Week 7: HB 777 was heard in House Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee on February 25th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. A CS was filed on February 25th, its reference to Ways & Means Committee was removed, and was placed in State Affairs Committee. It was heard in State Affairs on February 27th and voted favorable. CS HB 777 has been placed</p>



LAW ENFORCEMENT		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>on the Calendar, on second reading. CS CS SB 1414 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on February 26th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable.</p> <p>Week 8: No action for CS HB 777. CS CS CS SB 1414 was placed on Special Order for March 4th. On March 4th, it was read a second time, an amendment was adopted, ordered engrossed, and placed on third reading for March 5th. On March 5th, it was read a third time, passed unanimously by the Senate, and received in House Messages.</p>

Habitat & Species Conservation		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
<p>CS SB 906 by Senator Farmer, Jr. HB 1415 by Representative Daley</p>	<p>Prohibited Reptiles CS SB 906 adds the green iguana and the black and white tegu to the list of species that may not be kept, possessed, imported into the state, sold, bartered, traded, or bred in this state. The bill does provide an exception, which allows persons and entities to legally possess these species for the purpose of out-of-state sale of these species, upon first being issued a permit by FWC. The bill directs FWC to establish by rule methods and processes for the capture, purchase, inventory, and sale of all green iguanas and black and white tegus.</p>	<p>Week 1: SB 906 is scheduled to be heard in Environment and Natural Resources on January 21st. No action for HB 1415.</p> <p>Week 2: SB 906 was heard in Environment and Natural Resources on January 21st. It is now in Community Affairs. No action for HB 1415.</p> <p>Week 3: No action.</p>



Habitat & Species Conservation		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 4: No action for HB 1415. SB 906 is scheduled to be heard in Community Affairs on February 10th.</p> <p>Week 5: No action for HB 1415. SB 906 was heard in the Community Affairs Committee on February 10th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable.</p> <p>Week 6: No action for HB 1415. CS SB 906 is now in the Rules Committee.</p> <p>Week 7: No action.</p> <p>Week 8: No action.</p>
<p>HB 1067 by Representative Hattersley CS SB 1360 by Senator Rodriguez, J.</p>	<p>Florida Endangered and Threatened Species Act These identical bills direct FWC and DACS to protect certain declassified species. It prohibits FWC and DACS from considering certain costs when designating species as endangered or threatened.</p>	<p>Week 1: No action.</p> <p>Week 2: No action.</p> <p>Week 3: No action.</p> <p>Week 4: No action for HB 1067. SB 1360 is scheduled to be heard in Environment and Natural Resources on February 10th.</p> <p>Week 5: No action for HB 1067. SB 1360 was heard in Environment and Natural Resources Committee on February 10th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. CS SB 1360 is scheduled to be heard in Appropriations</p>



Habitat & Species Conservation		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Subcommittee on Agriculture, Environment and General Government on February 18th.</p> <p>Week 6: No action for HB 1067. CS SB 1360 was heard in the Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture, Environment and General Government on February 18th. It was voted favorable and is now in the Appropriations Committee.</p> <p>Week 7: No action.</p> <p>Week 8: No action.</p>

Marine Fisheries Management		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
<p>CS HB 401 by Representative Jacobs CS CS CS SB 680 by Senator Hutson</p>	<p>Shark Fins These similar bills prohibit the import, export, and sale of shark fins except for commercial fishermen who harvested sharks from a vessel holding a valid federal shark fishing permit on January 1, 2020 or to a wholesale dealer holding a valid federal Atlantic shark dealer permit on January 1, 2020. This bill sets a sunset on this practice for January 1, 2025. In addition, the bill requires FWC to evaluate the potential economic impact to the commercial shark fishing industry associated with the prohibition of the import, export, and sale of shark fins in Florida. Based on any identified negative economic impacts to the commercial shark fishing industry, FWC shall identify actions to</p>	<p>Week 1: HB 401 was heard in Business & Professions Subcommittee on January 15th and voted favorable. It is now in State Affairs. No action for SB 680.</p> <p>Week 2: No action.</p> <p>Week 3: No action for HB 401. SB 680 is scheduled to be heard in the Environment and Natural Resources Committee on February 3rd.</p>



Marine Fisheries Management

Bill	Description	Action Summary
	<p>lessen or offset impacts on the industry to the extent practicable. FWC also shall review the potential impact on shark populations associated with the prohibition of the import, export, and sale of shark fins in Florida. FWC shall report its findings to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by December 31, 2023.</p>	<p>Week 4: No action for HB 401. CS SB 680 was introduced and heard in Environment and Natural Resources on February 3rd. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in Commerce and Tourism.</p> <p>Week 5: HB 401 was heard in State Affairs on February 13th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. CS SB 680 is scheduled to be heard in Commerce and Tourism on February 18th.</p> <p>Week 6: CS HB 401 was placed on the Calendar on second reading. CS SB 680 was heard in the Commerce and Tourism Committee on February 18th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. CS CS SB 680 is scheduled to be heard in the Rules Committee on February 26th.</p> <p>Week 7: No action for CS HB 401. CS CS SB 680 was not considered by Senate Rules Committee on February 26th but is scheduled to be heard in Rules on March 2nd.</p> <p>Week 8: No action for CS HB 401. CS CS SB 680 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. On March 3rd, it was placed on Special Order for March 4th (it was temporarily postponed). On March 5th, CS CS CS SB 680 was read a second time, two amendments</p>



Marine Fisheries Management		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		were adopted (it was ordered engrossed) and placed on third reading for March 6 th . It was read a third time on March 6 th and passed the Senate. It was received in House Messages and placed on Special Order for March 9 th .
<p>SB 1042 by Senator Albritton CS CS HB 1061 by Representative Massullo, Jr.</p>	<p>Aquatic Preserves These compare bills create the Nature Coast Aquatic Preserve and specifies that the preserve consists of the state-owned submerged lands continuous with the southern boundary of the Big Bend Seagrasses Aquatic Preserve and the northern boundary of the Pinellas County Aquatic Preserve. The bills also describe the boundaries of the preserve, outlines the authority of the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund in respect to the preserve, requires the board to adopt rules, and prohibits the establishment and management of the preserve from infringing upon the riparian rights of upland property owners adjacent to or within the preserve.</p>	<p>Week 1: SB 1042 is scheduled to be introduced and heard in Environment and Natural Resources on January 21st. No action for HB 1061.</p> <p>Week 2: SB 1062 was heard in Environment and Natural Resources on January 21st. It was voted favorable and is now in Government Oversight and Accountability. No action for HB 1061.</p> <p>Week 3: SB 1042 is scheduled to be heard in Governmental Oversight and Accountability on February 3rd. HB 1061 is scheduled to be introduced and heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee on February 4th.</p> <p>Week 4: SB 1042 was heard in Governmental Oversight and Accountability on February 3rd. It was voted favorable and is now in Rules. HB 1061 was introduced and heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Subcommittee on February 4th. It is scheduled to be heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources</p>



Marine Fisheries Management		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Appropriations Subcommittee on February 11th.</p> <p>Week 5: No action for SB 1042. HB 1061 was heard in Agriculture & Natural Resources Appropriations Subcommittee on February 11th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in State Affairs.</p> <p>Week 6: No action.</p> <p>Week 7: SB 1042 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd. CS HB 1061 was heard in State Affairs Committee on February 27th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable.</p> <p>Week 8: SB 1042 was heard in Senate Rules Committee on March 2nd and voted favorable. On March 4th, it was placed on Special Order for March 6th. On March 6th, it was temporarily postponed on second reading, but then retained on Special Order again. CS CS HB 1061 was placed on Special Order for March 6th. It was read a second time and placed on third reading for March 9th.</p>



Information Technology

Bill	Description	Action Summary
<p>CS CS CS SB 1870 by Senator Hutson CS CS CS HB 1391 by Representative Grant, J.</p>	<p>Technological Development These identical bills establish the Florida Digital Service and the Division of Telecommunications within the Department of Management Services. The bills revise information technology-related powers, duties, and functions of DMS acting through the Florida Digital Service; requires the Department of Legal Affairs, the Department of Financial Services, or the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services to notify the Governor and the Legislature and provide a certain justification and explanation if such agency adopts alternative standards to certain enterprise architecture standards.</p>	<p>Week 1: No action.</p> <p>Week 2: No action.</p> <p>Week 3: No action for SB 1870. HB 1391 is scheduled to be heard in the Insurance & Banking Subcommittee on February 4th.</p> <p>Week 4: SB 1870 is scheduled to be heard in Innovation, Industry, and Technology on February 10th. CS HB 1391 was heard in Insurance & Banking Subcommittee on February 4th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is scheduled to be heard in Government Operations & Technology Appropriations Subcommittee on February 11th.</p> <p>Week 5: SB 1870 was heard in Innovation, Industry, and Technology on February 10th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in Banking and Insurance Committee. CS HB 1391 was heard in Government Operations & Technology Appropriations Subcommittee on February 11th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is now in State Affairs.</p> <p>Week 6: CS SB 1870 was heard in the Banking and Insurance Committee on February 19th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. No action for CS CS HB 1391.</p>



Information Technology		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 7: CS CS SB 1870 is scheduled to be heard in Senate Appropriations Committee on March 3rd. No action for CS CS HB 1391.</p> <p>Week 8: CS CS SB 1870 was heard in Senate Appropriations Committee on March 3rd. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. On March 5th, CS CS CS 1870 was placed on Special Order for March 9th. CS CS HB 1391 was heard in State Affairs Committee on March 2nd. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It was placed on Special Order for March 6th. On March 6th, it was read a second time and placed on third reading for March 9th.</p>
<p>CS SB 800 by Senator Harrell HB 1171 by Representative Duran</p>	<p>Division of State Technology These identical bills establish Data Innovation Program through the division; require DMS to administer program; specify requirements for division regarding data governance across state agencies; require division to develop & conduct data interoperability pilot programs with AHCA, DOH, & DCF; specify requirements for pilot programs.</p>	<p>Week 1: No action for SB 800.</p> <p>Week 2: No action for SB 800.</p> <p>Week 3: No action for SB 800. HB 1171 is scheduled to be heard in Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee on February 4th.</p> <p>Week 4: No action for SB 800. HB 1171 was heard in Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee and voted favorable. It is now in Appropriations Committee.</p>



Information Technology		
Bill	Description	Action Summary
		<p>Week 5: SB 800 is scheduled to be heard in Governmental Oversight and Accountability on February 17th. No action for HB 1171.</p> <p>Week 6: SB 800 was heard in the Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee on February 17th. A CS was introduced and voted favorable. It is scheduled to be heard in the Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture, Environment and General Government on February 25th. No action for HB 1171.</p> <p>Week 7: CS SB 800 was heard in Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture, Environment and General Government on February 25th and voted favorable. It is now in Senate Appropriations Committee. No action for HB 1171.</p> <p>Week 8: No action.</p>

