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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) directed staff to evaluate all species listed as Threatened or Species of Special Concern as of November 8, 2010 that had not undergone a status review in the past decade. Public information on the status of the Marian’s marsh wren was sought from September 17 to November 1, 2010. The three-member Biological Review Group (BRG) met on November 3 - 4, 2010. Group members were Michael F. Delany (FWC lead), Katy NeSmith (Florida Natural Areas Inventory), and Bill Pranty (Avian Ecologist Contractor) (Appendix 1). In accordance with rule 68A-27.0012, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the BRG was charged with evaluating the biological status of the Marian’s marsh wren using criteria included in definitions in 68A-27.001, F.A.C., and following the protocols in the Guidelines for Application of the IUCN Red List Criteria at Regional Levels (Version 3.0) and Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria (Version 8.1). Please visit http://myfwc.com/wildlifehabitats/imperiled/listing-action-petitions/ to view the listing process rule and the criteria found in the definitions.

In late 2010, staff developed the initial draft of this report which included BRG findings and a preliminary listing recommendation from staff. The draft was sent out for peer review and the reviewers’ input has been incorporated to create this final report. The draft report, peer reviews, and information received from the public are available as supplemental materials at http://myfwc.com/wildlifehabitats/imperiled/biological-status/.

The BRG concluded from the biological assessment that the Marian’s marsh wren met listing criteria. Staff recommend listing the Marian’s marsh wren as a Threatened species.

This work was supported by a Conserve Wildlife Tag grant from the Wildlife Foundation of Florida. FWC staff gratefully acknowledges the assistance of the biological review group members and peer reviewers. Staff thank Michelle Vandeventer who served as a data compiler on the subspecies and edited this report.

BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION


Taxonomic Classification – Marsh wrens (Cistothorus palustris) are in the Order Passeriformes assigned to the Family Troglodytidae (Wrens). About 14 subspecies are recognized. Subspecies designation is complex, being based on plumage, wing length, and geographic lines. Two distinct evolutionary groups, eastern and western, may warrant species status (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). Two subspecies, the Marian’s marsh wren (C. p. marianae)
and the Worthington’s marsh wren (C. p. griseus), breed in Florida. The Marian’s marsh wren was first described in 1888 (Scott 1888) as being darker with more olive color than brown, and having more barring on its plumage than other marsh wrens. Wheeler (1931) describes the taxonomic history and early distribution of marsh wrens in the Southeast.

**Population Status and Trend** – Difficulty in conducting surveys in relatively inaccessible salt marsh has limited monitoring, and historic information on abundance is sparse. Kale (1996) estimated 2,000-3,000 breeding pairs of Marian’s marsh wrens between Port Richey and Apalachee Bay. Distribution is sparse and little is known about the abundance of wrens west of Apalachee Bay. More recently, Marian’s marsh wrens (5-18 birds, range of averages from 3 repeated measures) were detected at each of 5 transects between Dixie County (29.71365 - 83.49502) and Franklin County (29.68065, -85.08717) in 2010 (FWC, unpublished data). The FWC list of species of greatest conservation need (FWC 2005) ranks the abundance status of the Marian’s marsh wren as “low” with an “unknown” population trend. Although results are based on only 7 routes and may be imprecise for trend estimates, information from the North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS 2010) indicate a -9.5 percent annual decline in the abundance of marsh wrens in the Southeastern coastal plain from 1966-2006. Marian’s marsh wrens are “abundant” in the coastal marshes of Alabama (Stevenson 1978). The Florida Natural Areas Inventory ranks the Marian’s marsh wren as rare and restricted in distribution globally and in Florida (G5T3/S3). The International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN 2009) ranks the global status of marsh wrens as a species of Least Concern. An array of point count stations (see Ralph et al. 1995) should be established within the range of the Marian’s marsh wren and surveys conducted at 5-year intervals to monitor trends in abundance.

**Geographic Range and Distribution** – Marsh wrens breed in brackish and freshwater marshes of North America from the western and northern continental United States and southern Canada; along the Atlantic coast from Delaware to northern Florida; and along the Gulf coast from mid-peninsula Florida to southern Texas and into Mexico (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). The Marian’s marsh wren breeds along the Gulf coast of Florida from Port Richey (Passco County) to Escambia Bay (Santa Rosa County), and west into southwest Alabama (Stevenson and Anderson 1994, Kale 1996). Distribution is sparse along the Florida panhandle west of Apalachee Bay (Wakulla County). Kroodsma and Verner (1997) considered *C. p. thyrophilus* synonymous with *C. p. mariana*ae, thereby extending the range of the Marian’s marsh wren to southeastern Texas. Several northern subspecies (*C. p. palustris, C. p. dissaeptus, C. p. waynei,* and *C. p. iliacus*) winter in Florida (Kale 1965, Stevenson and Anderson 1994, but see Phillips 1986). Marian’s marsh wrens inhabit tidal marshes dominated by cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*) and black needle rush (*Juncus roemerianus*) and nest in taller vegetation along tidal creeks. Florida land cover information (Water Management Districts, photography dates 1999-2008) indicates 566.0-701.0 km² of salt marsh habitat within the range of the Marian’s marsh wren. Cox and Kautz (2000) estimated 372.7 km² of existing potential habitat for the subspecies in Florida. The Florida Breeding Bird Atlas (FWC 2003, 1986-1991) documented confirmed breeding in 25 atlas blocks within the wren’s current range. The subspecies is resident at breeding locations and is considered non-migratory. The range of the Marian’s marsh wren extends into Alabama, with Florida constituting >80 percent of the subspecies range.
Quantitative Analyses – A population viability analysis has not been conducted on the Florida population of the Marian’s marsh wren.

BIOLOGICAL STATUS ASSESSMENT

Threats – The narrow coastal range of the Marian’s marsh wren makes it vulnerable to habitat loss and fragmentation due to dredging and filling in conjunction with coastal development, impoundments for mosquito control and waterfowl, flooding from severe storms and hydrological changes, sea level rise, chemical and oil spills, and disposal of dredged material (Montague and Wiegert 1990, FWC 2005). Development of adjacent uplands also may contribute to habitat degradation. The vulnerability of coastal song birds is exemplified by the rapid decline and extinction of the dusky seaside sparrow (*Ammodramus maritimus nigrescens*) Delany et al. (1981). Climate change is a potential threat at the southern extent of its range where salt marsh habitat may be lost to the invasion of mangroves as the climate warms. However, compared to *C. p. griseus* this subspecies appears to be more tolerant of the invasion of woody vegetation as long as a critical amount of grass and rush vegetation remains (Stevenson and Anderson 1994). Sea level rise also may lead to habitat loss for the Marian’s marsh wren in Florida (Walton 2007). However, responses of most species, especially short-lived species, to future climate change are not understood well enough to predict impacts (Akcakaya et al. 2006). The current condition of salt marsh habitats in Florida is considered “poor and declining” (FWC 2005), but strict regulatory mechanisms and public ownership provide some protection. High tides destroyed up to 21 percent of marsh wren nests during a four-year study in Georgia (Kale 1965), where rice rats (*Oryzomys palustris*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and mink (*Mustela vison*) depredated up to 81 percent of nests. Nests sites also may be usurped by rice rats (Stevenson and Anderson 1994).

Population Assessment – FWC listing criteria are based on criteria the IUCN developed for the evaluation of extinction risk for any taxon, with the exception of micro-organisms (IUCN 2010). Each taxon must be assessed against all criteria, but if the taxon meets any of the criteria under a particular category it qualifies as threatened. These criteria use the terms observed, estimated, projected, inferred, and suspected to refer to the quality of information used to assess the status of a species. The assessment criteria can be applied at a regional (Florida) level with a consideration of the status and impact of extra-regional populations (IUCN 2003). Findings from the BRG are included in the Biological Status Review Information Findings table below.

In their review of the status of the Marian’s marsh wren, the BRG made the following assumptions and conclusions:

1. Because the time estimated for 3 generations was <10 years, a timeframe of 10 years was used in assessments.

2. The estimated number of mature individuals ranged from 2,000-3,000 pairs (Kale 1996).

3. The extent of occurrence was 566.0 - 701.0 km$^2$ based on the availability of salt marsh habitat within the range of the wren in Florida. Cox and Kautz (2000) estimated 372.7 km$^2$ of existing potential habitat for the subspecies in Florida.
4. Adult marsh wrens in migratory populations will disperse to locate suitable habitat (Kroodsma and Verner 1997). However, adults in sedentary populations are less likely to disperse (personal communication, D. Kroodsma). Given the sparse distribution of Marian’s marsh wrens in the Florida panhandle, a rescue effect from extra-regional populations in Alabama seems unlikely.

5. The condition of salt marsh habitat in Florida is considered to be “poor and declining” (FWC 2005).

6. Listing assessment criteria were applied to the regional (Florida) population of the Marian’s marsh wren.

7. The number of locations refers to the concept of locations potentially threatened by a single event (e.g., hurricane or oil spill) as explained in the guidance documents.

The BRG concluded from the biological assessment that the Marian’s marsh wren met listing criteria a described in 68A-27.001, F.A.C.

LISTING RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Marian’s marsh wren be listed as a Threatened species.

SUMMARY OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW

Comments were received from 5 reviewers: Mr. Tylan Dean (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), Ms. Sally Jue (Florida Natural Areas Inventory), Dr. Donald Kroodsma (University of Massachusetts, coauthor of the MarshWren account in The Birds of North America), Mr. Craig Parenteau (Florida Department of Environmental Protection), and Mr. Paul Sykes (U.S. Geological Survey). Appropriate editorial changes recommended by the reviewers were made to the report. No changes were recommended that would affect the findings or staff recommendations. All reviewers concurred with the staff findings and recommendation. Peer reviews are available at MyFWC.com.

Most reviewers commented on the paucity of information on the distribution and abundance of the Marian’s marsh wren. Because accurate spatial and temporal information on the status of marsh wrens is needed to predict their ability to persist and determine appropriate management strategies, the BRG recommended standardized point count surveys be conducted at 5 year intervals to monitor populations.

One reviewer was uncertain about the specific population being evaluated, questioned why immigration was not expected, and recommended clarifying statements about the number of locations considered and the geographic range of the subspecies. A statement that IUCN assessment criteria were applied to the regional (Florida) population of the Marian’s marsh wren was added to the list of assumptions and conclusions. The BRG included rationale for not anticipating a rescue effect from extra-regional populations in the report. However, supporting information for this decision was added to the Regional Assessment section of the work sheet. A
statement that the number of locations refers to the IUCN criterion for locations potentially threatened by a single event (e.g., hurricane or oil spill) was added to the list of assumptions and conclusions. The Geographic Range and Distribution section was reworded to improve clarity. Information on distribution based on transects in two counties was unpublished FWC data and is cited parenthetically in the text. This additional information did not result in changes to the findings or staff recommendations.
LITERATURE CITED


**Species/taxon:** Marian's Marsh Wren  
**Date:** 11/04/10  
**Assessors:** Michael Delany, Katy NeSmith, and Bill Pranty  
**Generation length:** Estimated <3 years; IUCN 10-year period was used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion/Listing Measure</th>
<th>Data/Information</th>
<th>Data Type*</th>
<th>Sub-Criterion Met?</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A) Population Size Reduction, ANY of</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)1. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected population size reduction of at least 50% over the last 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer, where the causes of the reduction are clearly reversible and understood and ceased</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)2. An observed, estimated, inferred or suspected population size reduction of at least 30% over the last 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer, where the reduction or its causes may not have ceased or may not be understood or may not be reversible</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)3. A population size reduction of at least 30% projected or suspected to be met within the next 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (up to a maximum of 100 years)</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)4. An observed, estimated, inferred, projected or suspected population size reduction of at least 30% over any 10 year or 3 generation period, whichever is longer (up to a maximum of 100 years in the future), where the time period must include both the past and the future, and where the reduction or its causes may not have ceased or may not be understood or may not be reversible</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 based on (and specifying) any of the following: (a) direct observation; (b) an index of abundance appropriate to the taxon; (c) a decline in area of occupancy, extent of occurrence and/or quality of habitat; (d) actual or potential levels of exploitation; (e) the effects of introduced taxa, hybridization, pathogens, pollutants, competitors or parasites.

| **(B) Geographic Range, EITHER** | | | | |
| (b)1. Extent of occurrence < 20,000 km² (7,722 mi²) OR | 566.0-701.0 km² of salt marsh within range. | E | Y | Northwest, Suwannee, and Southwest Florida Water Management Districts, photography dates 1999-2008 |
| (b)2. Area of occupancy < 2,000 km² (772 mi²) | | | | |

AND at least 2 of the following:
| a. Severely fragmented or exist in ≤ 10 locations | Exists in <10 locations that are threatened by single events such as a hurricane or oil/chemical spill. | I | Y | FWC (2003) |
| b. Continuing decline, observed, inferred or projected in any of the following: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) area, extent, and/or quality of habitat; (iv) number of locations or subpopulations; (v) number of mature individuals | (iii) Current condition of salt marsh in Florida is poor and declining | I | Y | FWC (2005) |
| c. Extreme fluctuations in any of the following: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii) area of occupancy; (iii) number of locations or subpopulations; (iv) number of mature individuals | unknown | |

(C) Population Size and Trend

Population size estimate to number fewer than 10,000 mature individuals AND EITHER


(c)1. An estimated continuing decline of at least 10% in 10 years or 3 generations, whichever is longer (up to a maximum of 100 years in the future) OR

not available

(c)2. A continuing decline, observed, projected, or inferred in numbers of mature individuals AND at least one of the following:

not available

a. Population structure in the form of EITHER

(i) No subpopulation estimated to contain more than 1000 mature individuals; OR

"Marsh wrens at Cedar Key probably number more than 1000 individuals"

E | N | M. V. McDonald pers. comm., 3 Nov 2010

(ii) All mature individuals are in one subpopulation

not available

b. Extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals

not available

(D) Population Very Small or Restricted, EITHER

(d)1. Population estimated to number fewer than 1,000 mature individuals; OR

Marsh wrens in the Cedar Key area probably number more than 1000 individuals

E | N | M. V. McDonald pers. comm., 3 Nov 2010

(d)2. Population with a very restricted area of occupancy (typically less than 20 km² [8 mi²]) or number of locations (typically 5 or fewer) such that it is prone to the effects of human activities or stochastic events within a short time period in an uncertain future

Exists in <10 locations that are prone to the effects of human activities or stochastic events within a short time period in an uncertain future

I | Y | FWC (2003)

(E) Quantitative Analyses

e1. Showing the probability of extinction in the wild is at least 10% within 100 years

not available
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is species/taxon endemic to Florida? (Y/N)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If Yes, your initial finding is your final finding. Copy the initial finding and reason to the final finding space below. If No, complete the regional assessment sheet and copy the final finding from that sheet to the space below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Finding (Meets at least one of the criteria OR Does not meet any of the criteria)</td>
<td>Reason (which criteria/sub-criteria are met)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change from initial finding</td>
<td>B1ab(iii); D2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Initial finding

2a. Is the species/taxon a non-breeding visitor? (Y/N/DK). If 2a is YES, go to line 18. If 2a is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 11.

2b. Does the Florida population experience any significant immigration of propagules capable of reproducing in Florida? (Y/N/DK). If 2b is YES, go to line 12. If 2b is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 17.

2c. Is the immigration expected to decrease? (Y/N/DK). If 2c is YES or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 13. If 2c is NO go to line 16.

2d. Is the regional population a sink? (Y/N/DK). If 2d is YES, go to line 14. If 2d is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 15.

If 2d is YES - Upgrade from initial finding (more imperiled)

If 2d is NO or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding

2e. Are the conditions outside Florida deteriorating? (Y/N/DK). If 2e is YES or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 24. If 2e is NO go to line 19.

2f. Are the conditions within Florida deteriorating? (Y/N/DK). If 2f is YES or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 23. If 2f is NO, go to line 20.

2g. Can the breeding population rescue the Florida population should it decline? (Y/N/DK). If 2g is YES, go to line 21. If 2g is NO or DO NOT KNOW, go to line 22.

If 2g is YES - Downgrade from initial finding (less imperiled)

If 2g is NO or DO NOT KNOW - No change from initial finding

Final finding

No change from initial finding
APPENDIX 1. Brief biographies of the Marian’s marsh wren Biological Review Group members.

Michael F. Delany (M.S., Wildlife Ecology, University of Maryland Appalachian Laboratory) is an Associate Research Scientist with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC). He started work with the FWC in 1979 and is the Florida coordinator for the U.S. Geological Survey’s Breeding Bird Survey and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s eastern painted bunting monitoring program. Mike is principal investigator for field studies of the endangered Florida grasshopper sparrow. Studies addressing management needs for grasshopper sparrows, dusky seaside sparrows, American alligators, and Northern bobwhite resulted in over 40 publications. He is a Certified Wildlife Biologist with the Wildlife Society.

Katy NeSmith (M.S., Biological Science, Florida State University) is a zoologist with the Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). Katy is responsible for collecting and processing rare animal occurrence data, concentrating on birds; conducting field surveys for rare animals (past surveys include seaside sparrow, marsh wren, limpkin, Florida scrub-jay, red-cockaded woodpecker, and gopher tortoise); and identifying, evaluating, and describing high priority natural areas in Florida. She has worked on county inventories and has been involved in several current and historic natural community mapping projects.

APPENDIX 2. Summary of letters and emails received during the solicitation of information from the public comment period of September 17, 2010 through November 1, 2010.

No information about this species was received during the public information request period.